

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006

APPLICATION NO	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,167		02/06/2004	Christoph Andreas Roth	008932-0875-999	7311	
51832	7590	09/07/2006		EXAMINER		
JONES D				SWIGER III, JAMES L		
222 EAST					D + DZD > N // 4DZD	
NEW YOR	K, NY	10017-6702	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3733		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/774,167	ROTH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
James L. Swiger	3733	

	James L. Swiger		3/33	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover she	et with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CON	DITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an am tice of Appeal (with ap ce with 37 CFR 1.114.	nendment, aff opeal fee) in o The reply mi	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	dvisory Action, or (2) the	date set forth		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX		-	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the correspo shortened statutory perio than three months after	nding amount d for reply orig	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR	(41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date o	f filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		arch (see NO	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by	materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		r of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ce of Non-Co	impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		a a congrata	timely filed amendme	ant canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			II be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:	•			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejection	s under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	it does NOT place the	application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper N	o(s)	/. /	
13. Other:		/	M	
		EDUA	RDO C. ROBERT	INED
		@PERVISO	RY PATENT EXAM	IIVEN

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed arguments were not found to be persuasive. The rejection stands as noted in the Final Rejection dated 6/15/2006 because claim 16 reads on a bladed PORTION and a non-bladed portion, viewed from the prospective of Figs. 2 and 3 of the drawings, not focusing on the widths of the actual blades. Thus the combination of the references of Bresina and Schavan read on the claimed invention as disclosed.